



WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION November 2016 Design Solicitation

Revisions / Updates

The following packages have some changes, as updated on 'Statewide Project list'. Solicitation documents have been updated accordingly.

Added:

Removed:

Revised:

Question and Answer

Some questions are not published to eliminate redundancies, and some are deemed unwarranted

North Central Region

NC01, 9000-04-00

Q: What is the historical significance of the existing bridge?

A: *According to the Highway Structures Information (HSI) site, this structure is not eligible for the National Register.*

Q: If the bridge is historically significant, what is the anticipated Section 106 process and Section 4(f) analysis for this project? Or has this been done already, because of the decision to replace with a new bridge?

A: *N/A*

Q: What has been the coordination with SHPO to date, and what are SHPO's comments?

A: *The project is not currently on the screening list and the project has not yet been coordinated with SHPO.*

Q: What will be done with the existing bridge? Will it be offered for sale or given the opportunity to be salvaged and re-erected at a different location, such as a city, county, or state park?

A: *This has not yet been determined.*

Q: Is the project on the screening list for archaeology and/or history given on the truss style?

A: *The project is currently under review to determine if it qualifies for the screening list.*

Q: This bridge project is listed as a bridge replacement. What other alternatives were considered? Was a superstructure replacement and substructure alternation (keeping both abutments) to suit the new superstructure considered?

A: *Due to scour concerns with the existing (substandard-width) abutments this project was scoped to consist of a bridge replacement using a longer structure than is currently in place.*

Q: Is total bridge replacement the final decision, or is an alternatives analysis part of the consultant scope of services in preparing the Structure Survey Report? Please advise.

A: *This project is intended to consist of a bridge replacement.*



**WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
November 2016 Design Solicitation**

Southeast Region

SE 01, 2150-00-02 & 2270-04-00

Q: Project is at approximately 30%. Who developed the project to that stage of completion, the Department or a consultant? If a consultant, who is that consultant?

A: *Consultant. GAI Consultants, Inc. has completed the work to date on the project.*

Q: What is the northerly limit of the WIS 57 project? Does it include the entire intersection with W. Mequon Road?

A: *The project stops just short of WIS 167.*

Q: What is the southerly limit of the WIS 57 project? Does it include the entire intersection with Teutonia Avenue?

A: *The existing scope includes resurfacing up to Teutonia Avenue but not through the intersection.*

Q: What is the westerly limit of the WIS 100 project?

A: *The project includes only the bridge deck area.*

Q: What is the easterly limit of the WIS 100 project? Does this project include the roadway approaches on the east side of the bridges, and if yes, what are the limits?

A: *No approach work is included in the project.*

Q: The work for the bridges depends on the results of the latest thermography report. When will that report be available, and when will a decision be made regarding a deck overlay or deck replacement? Is this decision part of the scope of services for the consultant, or will the Department make the decision?

A: *The Department will make this decision prior to negotiating the consultant contract.*

Q: Signalized intersections are proposed at WIS 100 and WIS 57, and at WIS 100 and Deerbrook Trail. Who will be responsible for the design of these intersections including the signals?

A: *The selected consultant will design the intersections including the signals.*