**STRUCTURE INSPECTION QUALITY ASSURANCE**

Wisconsin Department of Transportation

DT2003 03/2022 s.84.17 Wis. Stats.

|  |
| --- |
| **INSPECTION PROGRAM REVIEW** |
| Reviewer Name: Title:       Date: |
| QA Field Review Type:  County  Region  Supplemental  Federal  Agency Reviewed:  Agency Program Manager & ID:  Is a current copy of the DT2002 (QC) form on file:  Yes  No  Comments: |
| **PROGRAM PERFORMANCE** |
| Overall Commentary and Performance of Program: |
| Commendable Practices: |
| Room for Improvement: |
| Follow-up Actions: |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **FIELD REVIEWS** | | | | | | |
| Structure ID (B-XX-XXXX):       Reasonable Amount of Time to Perform Inspection:  Yes  No  Inspection Type(s) Reviewed:       Date on Reviewed Inspection:  Inspection TL Name:       Inspector ID Number:  Overall Inspection Quality (Excellent, Good, Fair, Poor, Unacceptable): | | | | | | |
| Overall Notes: | | | | | | |
| Follow-up Actions: | | | | | | |
| Elements/Assessments: | Number Coded Correctly: | | | | | |
| *(List specific element/ assessment numbers  for any that are not  correct)* | Number Miscoded: | | | | | |
| Number Missing: | | | | | |
| Number Coded that Are Not on the Structure: | | | | | |
| NBI Component Values: (Bridge Only)  Bbjfnjfnv | File: | Deck: | Super: | Sub: | | Culvert: |
| (Bridge Only) | Review Panel: | Deck: | Super: | Sub: | | Culvert: |
|  | Each NBI Value Within One:  Yes  No | | | | | |
| **MISCELLANEOUS QUESTIONS** | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | |
| Were representative photos/sketches attached to the inspection for CS3/CS4 defects? | | | | | Yes  No  N/A | |
| Were there adequate notes to describe all defects visible on the structure? | | | | | Yes  No  N/A | |
| Were suggested maintenance/repair items documented by the inspector? | | | | | Yes  No  N/A | |
| Were the maintenance items appropriate (i.e. were there items missing, or no longer needed)? | | | | | Yes  No  N/A | |
| Were all "due" inspection activities (SI&A, UW-Profile, Etc.) completed by the inspector? | | | | | Yes  No  N/A | |
| If reviewing a special inspection (FC, UW-Dive, Tunnel Specific, etc.) were inspection procedures included? | | | | | Yes  No  N/A | |
| If reviewing a special inspection, does it appear that adequate access equipment was used and documented? | | | | | Yes  No  N/A | |
| If the bridge structure was over water, was the Underwater Probe form filled out correctly? | | | | | Yes  No  N/A | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **FIELD REVIEWS** | | | | | | |
| Structure ID (B-XX-XXXX):       Reasonable Amount of Time to Perform Inspection:  Yes  No  Inspection Type(s) Reviewed:       Date on Reviewed Inspection:  Inspection TL Name:       Inspector ID Number:  Overall Inspection Quality (Excellent, Good, Fair, Poor, Unacceptable): | | | | | | |
| Overall Notes: | | | | | | |
| Follow-up Actions: | | | | | | |
| Elements/Assessments: | Number Coded Correctly: | | | | | |
| *(List specific element/ assessment numbers  for any that are not  correct)* | Number Miscoded: | | | | | |
| Number Missing: | | | | | |
| Number Coded that Are Not on the Structure: | | | | | |
| NBI Component Values: | File: | Deck: | Super: | Sub: | | Culvert: |
| (Bridge Only) | Review Panel: | Deck: | Super: | Sub: | | Culvert: |
|  | Each NBI Value Within One:  Yes  No | | | | | |
| **MISCELLANEOUS QUESTIONS** | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | |
| Were representative photos/sketches attached to the inspection for CS3/CS4 defects? | | | | | Yes  No  N/A | |
| Were there adequate notes to describe all defects visible on the structure? | | | | | Yes  No  N/A | |
| Were suggested maintenance/repair items documented by the inspector? | | | | | Yes  No  N/A | |
| Were the maintenance items appropriate (i.e. were there items missing, or no longer needed)? | | | | | Yes  No  N/A | |
| Were all "due" inspection activities (SI&A, UW-Profile, Etc.) completed by the inspector? | | | | | Yes  No  N/A | |
| If reviewing a special inspection (FC, UW-Dive, tunnel specific, etc) were inspection procedures included? | | | | | Yes  No  N/A | |
| If reviewing a special inspection, does it appear that adequate access equipment was used and documented? | | | | | Yes  No  N/A | |
| If the bridge structure was over water, was the Underwater Probe form filled out correctly? | | | | | Yes  No  N/A | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **FIELD REVIEWS** | | | | | | |
| Structure ID (B-XX-XXXX):       Reasonable Amount of Time to Perform Inspection:  Yes  No  Inspection Type(s) Reviewed:       Date on Reviewed Inspection:  Inspection TL Name:       Inspector ID Number:  Overall Inspection Quality (Excellent, Good, Fair, Poor, Unacceptable): | | | | | | |
| Overall Notes: | | | | | | |
| Follow-up Actions: | | | | | | |
| Elements/Assessments: | Number Coded Correctly: | | | | | |
| *(List specific element/ assessment numbers  for any that are not  correct)* | Number Miscoded: | | | | | |
| Number Missing: | | | | | |
| Number Coded that Are Not on the Structure: | | | | | |
| NBI Component Values: | File: | Deck: | Super: | Sub: | | Culvert: |
| (Bridge Only) | Review Panel: | Deck: | Super: | Sub: | | Culvert: |
|  | Each NBI Value Within One:  Yes  No | | | | | |
| **MISCELLANEOUS QUESTIONS** | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | |
| Were representative photos/sketches attached to the inspection for CS3/CS4 defects? | | | | | Yes  No  N/A | |
| Were there adequate notes to describe all defects visible on the structure? | | | | | Yes  No  N/A | |
| Were suggested maintenance/repair items documented by the inspector? | | | | | Yes  No  N/A | |
| Were the maintenance items appropriate (i.e. were there items missing, or no longer needed)? | | | | | Yes  No  N/A | |
| Were all "due" inspection activities (SI&A, UW-Profile, Etc.) completed by the inspector? | | | | | Yes  No  N/A | |
| If reviewing a special inspection (FC, UW-Dive, Tunnel Specific, etc.) were inspection procedures included? | | | | | Yes  No  N/A | |
| If reviewing a special inspection, does it appear that adequate access equipment was used and documented? | | | | | Yes  No  N/A | |
| If the bridge structure was over water, was the Underwater Probe form filled out correctly? | | | | | Yes  No  N/A | |