| ALT. | TRAFFIC CONTROL | PROS | С | ONS | OTHER CONSIDERATIONS |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | NO BUILD MINOR LEG STOP CONTROL | Costs nothing No impacts Maintains access to all movements at the intersection | Does not ac safety issue Will not imp skew, vehic mis-judging | ldress the known es rove intersection le speeds, and of gaps | |
| 2 | MINOR LEG STOP CONTROL WITH OFFSET TURN LANES | Maintains access to all movements at the intersection Reduces crash potential, by eliminating poor intersection angle No added delay to sideroad movements | Highest crass alternative (No reduction conflict point Driver disco street appro USH 18/151 Real estate | sh potential except no build) n in intersection ts mfort from minor aches trying to enter impacts | Street light may be needed Oversized Ag equipment may have width restrictions if using turn lanes |
| 3 | RIGHT-IN/RIGHT-OUT/ LEFT-IN | Reduces crash potential, specifically right-angle crashes Reduces intersection conflict points by 71%. (12 vs 42) Simplifies driver decision-making task | Increased de intersection sideroad thr Increases de sideroad tra to find alterr USH 18/151 May increas U-turns Real estate | elay for all left-turn and u movements elay & travel time for ffic that are required nate routes to e risk of illegal impacts | Street light may be needed Oversized Ag equipment may have width restrictions if using turn lanes |
| 4 | RIGHT-IN/RIGHT-OUT | Lowest-cost alternative Safest alternative, eliminating all angle crashes Reduces intersection conflict points by 86%. (6 vs 42) Offset alignments could be used to reduce R/W impacts and cost Simplifies driver decision-making tasks | Increased de intersection sideroad thr sideroad thr Limits access sideroad left movements Increased de for restricted are required routes May increas U-turns Real estate | elay for all left-turn and u movements ss, by eliminating t turn and thru elays and travel time d movements that to find alternate e risk of illegal impacts | |
| 5 | RESTRICTED CROSSING U-TURN (RCUT) - NO LEFT TURNS | Maintains access to all movements at the intersection Reduces crash potential, specifically right-angle crashes Reduces intersection conflict points by 57%. (18 vs 42) Offset alignments could be used to reduce R/W impacts and cost Simplifies driver decision-making task | Second-highest cost alternative Increased delay for all intersection left-turn and sideroad thru movements Real estate impacts | | Requires street lighting |
| 6 | RESTRICTED CROSSING U-TURN (RCUT) | Maintains access to all movements at the intersection Reduces crash potential, specifically right-angle crashes Reduces intersection conflict points by 43%. (24 vs 42) Simplifies driver decision-making tasks | Highest-cos Increased d left-turn and Real estate | at alternative elay for sideroad thru movements impacts | Requires street lighting Oversize Ag equipment may have width restrictions if using turn lanes |
| APRIL 2023 | | | | | |
| DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT | | ROJECT ID 1204-00-06/76 DGEVILLE - MOUNT HOREB (CTH YZ INTERSECTION) SH 18/151, IOWA COUNTY | | DESIGN ALTERNATIVES SUMMARY | |