The Chippewa – Eau Claire Metropolitan Planning Organization is the designated policy body responsible for cooperative, comprehensive regional transportation planning and decision making for the Chippewa - Eau Claire Metropolitan Planning Area.

The Chippewa – Eau Claire Metropolitan Planning Area consists of the city of Eau Claire and the Eau Claire Urbanized Area, including all or portions of the 15 contiguous villages, cities and towns that are or are likely to become urbanized within a 20-year planning period. The planning area currently consists of:

- Cities of Altoona, Chippewa Falls and Eau Claire
- Village of Lake Hallie
- Counties of Chippewa and Eau Claire
- Towns of Anson, Brunswick, Eagle Point, Hallie, Lafayette, Pleasant Valley, Seymour, Tilden, Union, Washington and Wheaton

For more information, refer to the Corridor Map Legend Definitions document at www.wiconnections2030.gov.
# Chippewa – Eau Claire Metropolitan Planning Area

## Current and Proposed Future Activities

These activities may not occur in the time frame identified due to budget constraints, changing conditions or shifting priorities. Refer to the "Important Notes about What is Depicted" for more information or contact the WisDOT Region Office.

### Overlapping Corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>I-94</strong></td>
<td><strong>US 12</strong></td>
<td><strong>I-94</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace bridges over WIS 37/85 and I-94, and at County Rd E (Eau Claire Co) and I-94</td>
<td>Expand to four lanes from Winchester Way to Schultz Rd if supported by environmental document</td>
<td>Reconstruct existing interchange at WIS 312/County Rd EE (Eau Claire Co) and I-94 if supported by environmental document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WIS 124</strong></td>
<td><strong>WIS 37/85</strong></td>
<td><strong>I-94</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstruct intersection with old BUS 29 if supported by environmental document</td>
<td>Expand to four lanes from WIS 37/85 intersection to I-94 if supported by environmental document</td>
<td>Reconstruct from Red Cedar River (Menomonie) to WIS 312 if supported by environmental document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WIS 178</strong></td>
<td><strong>US 53/WIS 54/ WIS 93</strong></td>
<td><strong>US 12</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstruct from County Rd S to 8th Ave north of Chippewa Falls</td>
<td>Prepare corridor plan from County Rd HD (La Crosse Co) to I-94 (Eau Claire)</td>
<td>Expand to six lanes from Vine St to WIS 312 if supported by environmental document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bicycle/Pedestrian</strong></td>
<td><strong>Bicycle/Pedestrian</strong></td>
<td><strong>Intercity Passenger Rail</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support the connection of the Old Abe State Trail to the Chippewa River State Trail from Lake Hallie to Chippewa Falls</td>
<td>Provide urban accommodations along US 12 from the Altoona eastern city limits to WIS 124 (Eau Claire)</td>
<td>Study alternatives for serving Eau Claire and West Central Wisconsin, and conduct environmental and engineering studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intercity Bus</strong></td>
<td><strong>Intercity Passenger Rail</strong></td>
<td><strong>Public Transit</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support new intercity bus service between Eau Claire and Superior with stops in Chippewa Falls, Rice Lake and Spooner; and between Minneapolis/St Paul, MN and Green Bay with stops in Hudson, Menomonie, Eau Claire, Chippewa Falls, Wausau and Shawano</td>
<td>Study new intercity feeder service between Eau Claire and the Tomah passenger rail station</td>
<td>Study potential to expand transit service to Lake Hallie and Chippewa Falls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Transit</strong></td>
<td><strong>Public Transit</strong></td>
<td><strong>Public Transit</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support establishing bus stop standards</td>
<td>Study constructing a mini transit hub at Oakwood Mall</td>
<td>Study potential to expand transit service to Lake Hallie and Chippewa Falls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Transit</strong></td>
<td><strong>Public Transit</strong></td>
<td><strong>Public Transit</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support transition from flag stop system to designated bus stops</td>
<td>Study potential to expand transit service to Lake Hallie and Chippewa Falls</td>
<td>Study potential to expand transit service to Lake Hallie and Chippewa Falls</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Note: Details for each activity are not provided in the given text.*
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Current and Proposed Future Activities

These activities may not occur in the time frame identified due to budget constraints, changing conditions or shifting priorities. Refer to the “Important Notes about What is Depicted” for more information or contact the WisDOT Region Office.

About Multimodal Corridors and Planning Areas

The Connections 2030 planning process identified statewide multimodal, intercity corridors as visual communication tools to view existing conditions, transportation features and future recommendations. In some cases, these corridors have endpoints in or pass through metropolitan planning areas. These corridors collectively represent a starting point toward long-term implementation of Connections 2030 and the corridor management process.

These multimodal corridors:
• Serve critical sectors of the economy or major population centers
• Carry significant travel activity for passenger and/or freight traffic
• Show significant growth in travel or economic development
• Serve an important role for other transportation modes

Corridor selection was also influenced by local land use and development plans. Each corridor is a broad geographical band that follows a general directional flow connecting trips that may include streets, highways, rail, pedestrian, bicycle facilities and routes and transit route alignments. A corridor generally follows the directional flow of a state highway alignment. It includes parallel state and local roads, service roads and facilities for other transportation modes such as rail, pedestrian, transit, etc., which influence the mobility, capacity, safety and other functional elements of the corridor.

Important Notes about What is Depicted

The map shows currently programmed and proposed future activities (as of December 31, 2007) that have significant impacts on the planning area. Not all projects or initiatives are mapped, and additional analyses, including an environmental document, will be conducted before any of the projects or activities are completed. These analyses may include studying alternatives (including a no build/no change alternative) with public involvement opportunities as appropriate. Resources and shifting priorities may impact WisDOT’s implementation of any proposed activity within the time frames identified. WisDOT will remain flexible in the implementation of Connections 2030 recommendations. The map and table activities on the following page reflect actions identified in:
• Connections 2030 policies
• WisDOT’s Six-Year Highway Improvement Program (2008 - 2013)
• Other WisDOT program data
• Other WisDOT plans and studies
• Metropolitan planning organizations’ (MPOs), regional planning commissions’ (RPCs) and tribal long-range transportation plans

For information on funding and implementation priorities, see those Connections 2030 chapters. For more information on transportation projects, contact the WisDOT Region Office (see Connections 2030 or www.dot.wisconsin.gov/projects/ for a map of region offices), MPO, RPC and tribal long-range transportation plans offer recommendations on all transportation modes within their boundaries.
Planning Area Map – Data Definitions and Sources

Data Definitions

Corridors 2030

(State Connections 2030 Chapter 5, Preserve and Maintain Wisconsin’s Transportation System, for more information.)

• Backbone system: Multilane, divided highways interconnecting all major population and economic centers of the state and linking them to the national transportation network

• Connector system: Two- and four-lane highways directly linking other significant economic and tourism centers to the Backbone system

State Access Management Plan vision

(State Connections 2030 Chapter 6, Promote Transportation Efficiencies, for more information.)

• Tier 1: By 2030, in rural areas (outside of city and village boundaries), access to the highway will primarily be at interchanges (with some existing safely spaced, locked and gated emergency vehicle driveways and a few isolated field entrances possible at select locations)

• Tier 2A: By 2030, in rural areas (outside of city and village boundaries), access to the highway will primarily be at at-grade public road intersections (with some existing safely spaced, locked and gated emergency vehicle driveways and few isolated field entrances)

• Tier 2B: By 2030, in rural areas (outside of city and village boundaries), access to the highway will primarily be at at-grade public road intersections with some existing safely spaced, lower volume private, residential, field or emergency service driveways

• Tier 3: By 2030, in rural areas (outside of city and village boundaries), access to the highway will primarily be at at-grade public road intersections with some existing safely spaced, higher volume private, residential and field or emergency service driveways

• Tier 4: By 2030, in rural areas (outside of city and village boundaries), access to the highway will be at safely spaced driveways and roads

State Airport System Plan classifications

• Air carrier (passenger)/air cargo: Designed to accommodate virtually any size aircraft used in regional service, and small airplanes (piston or turboprop) used in commuter air service

• General utility: Intended to serve virtually all small aviation single and twin-engine aircraft (both piston and turboprop) with a maximum take-off weight of 12,500 pounds or less

• Basic utility: Intended to serve all small-engine piston aircraft and many of the smaller twin-engine piston aircraft with a gross takeoff weight of 12,500 pounds or less

• Urban/urbanized areas

• Urban areas: Areas with populations between 5,000 and 49,999

• Urbanized areas: Areas with populations of 50,000 or more

Data Sources

Annual average daily traffic (AADT)

• Current data: WisDOT, 2005 Wisconsin Highway Traffic Volume Data, December 2006

• Forecast data: WisDOT, August 2007

Enplanements

• Current data: WisDOT, 2006 Wisconsin Aviation Activity, April 2007

• Forecast data: Flight Transportation Associates, Inc., Updated Wisconsin State Airport System Plan Aviation Activity Forecasts, September 2005; Southeast Wisconsin Regional Planning Commissions, Review and Update of Regional Airport System Plan Forecasts, 2005

National Highway System (NHS) intermodal terminals

• Federal Highway Administration, October 2007

Passenger rail ridership

• Current data: WisDOT, 2007

• Forecast data:

  • Transportation Economics & Management Systems, Inc., Midwest Regional Rail Initiative Project Notebook, 2004

  • Forecast year 2020

  • Forecast Milwaukee station data includes all Milwaukee area stations (Milwaukee Intermodal Station, General Mitchell International Airport and Grapple)

Population

• Current population: Wisconsin Department of Administration, January 1, 2007

• Preliminary Population Estimates for Wisconsin Counties, August 10, 2007

• 2030 Population: Wisconsin Department of Administration, Final Population Projections for Wisconsin Counties by Age and Sex, 2000 – 2030, January 2004

• Current Age 65 and older population: 2010 US Census, Summary File 1, Variable P12: Sex by Age

• 2030 Age 65 and older population: Wisconsin Department of Administration, Final Population Projections for Wisconsin Counties by Age and Sex, 2000 – 2030, January 2004

Public and specialized transit

• WisDOT, January 2008

Truck volume

• WisDOT, August 2007

Wisconsin Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs)


• Dubuque Metro Area Transportation Study, 2031 Long-Range Transportation Plan

• Duluth – Superior Metropolitan Interstate Council, Access and Mobility for People and Freight 2030, September 2005

• Fond du Lac Metropolitan Planning Organization, Long Range Transportation/Land Use Plan for the Fond du Lac Urbanized Area, October 2005

• Fox Cities Metropolitan Planning Organization, Long Range Transportation/Land Use Plan for the Fox Cities Urbanized Area, October 2005

• Green Bay Metropolitan Planning Organization, Long Range Transportation Plan, November 2005

• Janesville Metropolitan Planning Organization, 2005 – 2035 Long Range Transportation Plan, December 2005

• La Crosse Area Planning Committee, 2030 La Crosse and La Crescent Metropolitan Area Transportation Plan, August 2005

• Madison Area Transportation Planning Board, Regional Transportation Plan 2030, November 2005

• Oshkosh Metropolitan Planning Organization, Long Range Transportation/Land Use Plan for the Oshkosh Urbanized Area, October 2005

• Sheboygan Metropolitan Planning Organization, Year 2035 Sheboygan Area Transportation Plan, January 2006

• Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission, Planning Report 49, A Regional Transportation System Plan for Southeastern Wisconsin 2035, March 2006

• Stateline Area Transportation Study, 2006 – 2035 Long-Range Transportation Plan, December 2005

• Wausau Metropolitan Planning Commission, Wausau Area Metropolitan Long-Range Transportation Plan – 2035, December 2005

Wisconsin Tribal Transportation Plans

• Bad River Band of Lake Superior Tribe of Chippewa Indians, Long Range Tribal Transportation Plan, July 2006

• Forest County Potawatomis Community, Long Range Transportation Plan, March 2008

• Ho-Chunk Nation, No-Chunk Nation Long Range Transportation Plan, June 2005, amended March 2007

• Lac Courte Oreilles Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians, 2006 Transportation Plan, March 2006

• Lac du Flambeau Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians, Long-Range Transportation Plan, February 2007

• Menominee Nation, Menominee Indian Reservation Long Range Transportation Plan, May 2007

• Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin, Transportation Improvement Plan, December 2003, amended March 2007

• Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Tribe of Chippewa Indians, Long Range Transportation Plan for the Red Cliff Reservation, February 2006

• St. Croix Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin, St. Croix Tribal Council 2007 Long Range Transportation Plan, March 2007

• Sokaogon Chippewa Community, Long Range Transportation Plan, March 2007

• Stockbridge-Munsee Community Band of Mohican Indians, 2006 Tribal Long-Range Transportation Plan Update, May 2007

The information contained in this data set and information produced from this data set was created for the official use of WisDOT. Any other use, while not prohibited, is the sole responsibility of the user. WisDOT expressly disclaims all liability regarding fitness of use of the information for other than official WisDOT business.