GENERAL

Reference is made to the MUTCD Chapter 4N.

In-roadway warning lights (IRWLs) are special types of highway traffic control devices installed in the roadway pavement to warn road users that they are approaching a condition on or adjacent to the roadway that may not be readily apparent and might require the road users to slow down and/or yield.

IRWLs are actuated devices with flashing indications that provide real-time warning of a specific condition. In-pavement lights that supplement pavement markings by operating in a steady burn state shall also require WisDOT approval but are not the focus of this policy.

On the STH system in Wisconsin, IRWLs are limited to situations warning of: marked school crosswalks, marked mid-block crosswalks, marked crosswalks on uncontrolled approaches, and other roadway situations involving pedestrian crossings that are not associated with other types of traffic control.

POLICY

IRWLs, as defined herein, may be used on the Wisconsin STH system provided the local jurisdiction:

1. Applies for a permit
2. Agrees to fund the installation, operation, and maintenance of the device
3. Agrees to be responsible for any corresponding damage to the roadway or damage to highway maintenance equipment, and
4. Properly cites appropriate locations based on the conditions of this policy.

The municipality should understand that the permit may be revoked, especially in the event of safety or operational issues. In such a situation, the original costs and costs to restore the pavement are the obligation of the permit holder.

When allowed by permit, IRWLs shall be installed perpendicular to the direction of travel on the roadway and used to supplement crosswalk markings. IRWLs placed along the centerline of a highway, parallel to the direction of travel, shall not be used. IRWLs shall not be allowed on freeways or expressways.

Prior to the use of IRWLs, adequate trail of standard remedial measures shall be used to warn motorists of pedestrian crossings. IRWLs will be used only to supplement typical warning devices such as signs, markings, and crossing guards. Other strategies, such as providing a median refuge roadway lighting in advance of the crossing, or enforcement campaigns, are more universally recognizable methods of warning motorists of these conditions, and should also be implemented when practicable.

Location Criteria

It is recognized that the use of IRWLs may affect STH traffic operations by increasing delay and reducing mobility, especially if used near existing signalized or stop-controlled intersections. The following criteria shall be met:

1. Location is an uncontrolled pedestrian crossing.
2. Location is an established school route, accommodates a minimum pedestrian volume of 100 pedestrians/day, or location has experienced pedestrian crashes in the past 3 years.
3. Subject crossing is located in municipal (non-rural) limits.
4. There exists a minimum of 300 feet between the subject crossing and the nearest uncontrolled pedestrian crossing, or intersection traffic control device on the STH.
5. There exists a minimum of 1200 feet between the subject crossing and the nearest uncontrolled pedestrian crossing supplemented with in-roadway warning lighting, unless exceptional conditions exist.
6. Roadway has a maximum of four travel lanes with a maximum single-stage crossing distance of 50 feet.
7. Approach speed is posted at less than 50 mph.

8. Adequate stopping sight distance exists based on the following approach speeds:
   a. 15 or 25 mph = 200 ft
   b. 30 mph = 250 ft
   c. 35 mph = 300 ft
   d. 40 mph = 400 ft
   e. 45 mph = 500 ft

Design Requirements

In the interest of uniformity, reliability, and consideration for other highway users, the following minimum design requirements for IRWLs shall be met:

1. Number/positioning of lights:
   a. For two-lane undivided roadways: 5 IRWLs per direction
   b. For four-lane undivided roadways: 7 IRWLs per direction
   c. For four-lane divided roadways: 5 IRWLs per direction.

2. IRWLs shall be actuated and shall not flash continuously.

3. If pedestrian push buttons are used to actuate the IRWLs, a PUSH BUTTON TO TURN ON WARNING LIGHTS (R10-25) sign shall be mounted adjacent to or integral with each pedestrian push button.

4. For four-lane divided roadways with median widths equal to or exceeding 6 feet, pedestrian actuation in the median shall be provided to allow for a two-stage crossing of the roadway.

5. Lights shall be evenly spaced across the entire traveled way. Lights should be positioned outside of vehicle wheel paths and should also consider bicyclist routes adjacent the traveled way. Lights placed near the centerline of the roadway should be offset slightly to minimize interference with pavement marking operations.

6. Electrical wire shall be cast in a minimum of 8-inch concrete pavement. If IRWLs are being installed with an improvement project that requires a pavement section greater than 8 inches, then the pavement at the crossing should be made to match that of the adjacent roadway. Pavement reinforcement may not be required, but this decision will reside with the regional pavement design unit. Doweling to adjacent concrete pavement will also be required at the direction of the regional pavement engineer. A minimum 2 feet of clearance to the edge of the concrete shall be maintained. Pavement structure shall be installed according to WisDOT Standard Specifications. Installation in existing pavement by sawing or coring is not permissible. Minimal width of the concrete, measured longitudinally in the direction of traffic, shall be 12 feet.

7. Roadway profile shall be appropriately maintained by milling or wedging the approach to the crossing, as required.

8. IRWLs shall flash for the entire calculated pedestrian clearance time. Pedestrian clearance should be calculated based on a 3.5 ft/sec walking speed. Locations frequented by children and elderly users may have a pedestrian clearance based on a slower walking speed. A brief time extension of 3 to 7 seconds may be added to allow for vehicle/pedestrian response and separation.

9. Features meant to accommodate impaired pedestrians such as actuator buttons with locator tones, supplemental braille signing, etc., should be considered at individual locations on a case-by-case basis. If used, these devices shall be furnished and maintained by the municipality that requests the IRWLs.

10. Other design criteria shall conform to the manufacturer’s recommendations.

SUPPORT

There are several general points of concern regarding the use of these devices:

1. IRWLs do not ensure that motorists will appropriately yield the right of way to pedestrians in the crossing.

2. A public awareness and education campaign may be required to educate the public prior to operating
IRWLs.

3. IRWLs may cause rear-end collisions similar to a signal installation.

4. Placement of IRWLs between coordinated traffic control signals may cause progression problems.

5. Any improperly installed electrical equipment may pose a hazard to the general public.

6. In Wisconsin, IRWLs may be susceptible to premature failure due to moisture buildup and/or snow removal operations.

7. The type of actuation used for IRWLs needs to be considered. Active detection (i.e. pushbutton) may create a false sense of security for pedestrians who are not familiar with the use of such devices or the rules of the road. Because of these factors, passive detection (i.e. infrared) is considered more appropriate for these types of applications, especially in crosswalks associated with school zones. In either case, an informational plaque should be used to briefly describe proper crossing behavior while using IRWLs. These are similar to informational plaques used at signalized pedestrian crossings (R10 series).

8. In IRWLs will be placed outside of existing connecting highway limits within a municipality, consideration should be given to extend those limits to include the installation location.