**BUREAU OF AERONAUTICS**

# SECTION 106 REVIEW

**ARCHAEOLOGICAL/HISTORICAL INFORMATION**

Wisconsin Department of Transportation

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| I. **PROJECT INFORMATION** |  |
|  FOS Project ID | County |
|  Airport Name | Airport Manager |
|  Project Engineer/Project Manager | (Area Code) Telephone Number |
|  Planning/Design Consultant | (Area Code) Telephone Number |
|  Archaeological Consultant | (Area Code) Telephone Number |
|  Architecture/History Consultant | (Area Code) Telephone Number |
|  Date of Need |  |
| **II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION** |  |
| Type of Project [ ]  New Construction [ ]  Reconstruction [ ]  Recondition [ ]  Other [ ]  Wetland Mitigation [ ] Runway Extension [ ]  Land Acquisition |
| [ ]  Known Cemetery | Amount of land to be disturbed:Acres\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | Amount of acres to be acquiredAcres\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |

Describe ground disturbing activity associated with proposed construction - e.g., strip construction, slope grading, temporary bypass, realignment, stream channel change, etc.

Brief Project Description: (Be specific and include all activities associated with the project.)

DELETE THIS PARAGRAPH- SUBMIT ORIGINAL & 3 COPIES OF ATTACHMENTS TO TSS)

**III. NOTIFICATION**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| How has notification of the project been provided to:[ ] Property Owners [ ]  Public Information Meeting Notice [ ]  Letter [required for Archaeology] [ ]  Telephone Call [ ]  Other | [ ]  Historical Societies/Organizations [ ]  Public Information Meeting Notice [ ]  Letter [ ]  Telephone Call [ ]  Other | [ ]  Native American Tribes **Must notify with**: [ ]  Public Info. Mtg. Notice [ ]  Letter |
| \*Attach one copy of the base letter, list of addresses and comments received. For history include telephone memos as appropriate. |

**IV. AREA OF POTENTIAL EFFECTS [APE]**

|  |
| --- |
| **HISTORY:** Describe the area of potential effects for buildings/structures.  |
| If you wish to claim there is no APE for buildings/structures, you must justify that claim. [NOTE: If there are no buildings/structures of any kind in the APE, go to Item V., check “Architecture/History survey is not needed” and state why.] |
| **ARCHAEOLOGY: Area of potential effect** for archaeology is the existing and proposed ROW, temporary and permanent easements. Agricultural practices do not constitute a ground disturbance. |

**V. SURVEY NEEDED**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **ARCHAEOLOGY** | **HISTORY** |
| [ ]  Archaeological survey **is needed** [See Chapter 26-35-1 of FDM for procedure and # of exhibits] | **[ ]** Architecture/History survey is needed |
| [ ]  Archaeological survey **is not needed** - provide justification [ ]  SHPO records search conducted  (date). [ ]  Screening list  (date). [ ]  No potential to affect archaeological sites Describe project area and attach project plans | [ ]  Architecture/History survey is not needed |

**VI. SURVEY COMPLETED-Documentation required for submittal to TSS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **ARCHAEOLOGY** | **HISTORY** |
| [ ]  Project maps attached [most recent design][ ]  ASFR attached [**NO** archaeological sites(s) identified][ ]  Report attached [**NO** potentially eligible site(s) in project area][ ]  Report attached [potentially eligible site(s) avoided][ ]  Report attached - cemetery documentation[ ]  Native American response letters & reports [Send four reports + # of copies for NA requests to district.] | [ ]  A/HSF attached [**NO** buildings/structures identified][ ]  A/HSF attached [potentially eligible buildings/structures identified.] |

**VII. EVALUATION COMPLETED-Documentation required for submittal to TSS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| [ ]  Report attached [no arch site(s) eligible for NRHP] | [ ]  DOE attached [no buildings/structure(s) eligible for NRHP] |
| [ ]  Report and DOE attached [arch site(s) eligible for NRHP][ ]  Report and draft DOE attached [arch site(s) eligible for  NRHP—avoided through project redesign] | [ ]  DOE attached [building/structure(s) eligible for NRHP] |

**VIII. COMMITMENTS**

|  |
| --- |
|   |

**IX. PROJECT REVIEW**

|  |
| --- |
| [ ]  No eligible properties in APE[ ]  No effect on historic buildings and/or archaeological sites eligible for NRHP[ ]  Eligible properties may be affected by project-go to Step 4: Assess effects and begin consultation |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| (BOA Project Manager) |  | (WisDOT Historic Preservation Officer) |  | (State Historic Preservation Officer) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |  |   |
| (Date) |  | (Date) |  | (Date) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| (Consultant Project Manager) |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |
| (Date) |  |  |  |  |