Wisconsin Department of Transportation

Division of Transportation Systems Development
Bureau of Project Development 4802 Sheboygan Avenue, Rm 601
P O Box 7916
Madison, WI 53707-7916
Telephone:
(608) 266-1631

Facsimile (FAX): (608) 266-8459

## NOTICE TO ALL CONTRACTORS:

Proposal \#09: 9180-22-71, WISC 2016292
Oconto Falls - USH 141
Highland Drive - USH 141
STH 22
Oconto County

## Letting of August 9, 2016

This is Addendum No. 01, which provides for the following:
Special Provisions

| Added Special Provisions |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| Article <br> No. | Description |
| 25 | Select Borrow |
| 26 | HMA Pavement 3 MT 58-28 S 3.0\% Va Regression Special, Item SPV.0195.01; HMA <br> Pavement 4 MT 58-34 S 3.0\% Va Regression Special, Item SPV.0195.02 |

Schedule of Items

| Revised Bid Item Quantities |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bid Item | Item Description | Unit | Old <br> Quantity | Revised <br> Quantity | Proposal <br> Total |
| 208.0100 | Borrow | CY | 9,911 | 3,116 | 13,027 |
| 311.0110 | Breaker Run | TON | 166 | 3,629 | 3,795 |
| 311.0115 | Breaker Run | CY | 3,795 | $-3,629$ | 166 |
| 460.6223 | HMA Pavement 3 MT 58-28 S | TON | 13,844 | 48 | 13,892 |
| 460.6244 | HMA Pavement 4 MT 58-34 S | TON | 8,322 | 36 | 8,358 |


| Added Bid Item Quantities |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bid Item | Item Description | Unit | Old <br> Quantity | Revised <br> Quantity | Proposal <br> Total |
| SPV.0195.01 | HMA Pavement 3 MT 58-28 S 3.0\% Va <br> Regression Special | TON | 0 | 13,892 | 13,892 |
| SPV.0195.02 | HMA Pavement 4 MT 58-34 S 3.0\% Va <br> Regression Special | TON | 0 | 8,358 | 8,358 |

## Plan Sheets

| Revised Plan Sheets |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| Plan <br> Sheet | Plan Sheet Title (brief description of changes to sheet) |
| 6 | Modified existing lane widths |
| 14 | Modified existing lane widths |
| 17 | Added detail for pavement transition |
| 49 | Updated some of the earthwork quantities |
| 53 | Updated HMA Pavement quantities |

The responsibility for notifying potential subcontractors and suppliers of these changes remains with the prime contractor.

Sincerely,

## Mike Coleman

Proposal Development Specialist
Proposal Management Section

## ADDENDUM NO. 01

9180-22-71
August 4, 2016

## Special Provisions

## 25. Select Borrow.

Conform to the requirements of standard spec 208 and as hereinafter provided.

## Material.

Furnish and use material that consists of granular material meeting the following requirements: Not more than $25 \%$ of that portion passing the No. 4 sieve shall pass the No. 200 sieve.

If the engineer approves, the contractor may substitute Breaker Run conforming to standard spec 311 for select borrow.
26. HMA Pavement 3 MT 58-28 S 3.0\% Va Regression Special, Item SPV.0195.01. HMA Pavement 4 MT 58-34 S 3.0\% Va Regression Special, Item SPV.0195.02.

HMA

## A Description

This special provision describes providing HMA pavement including the binder under a combined bid item along with air void regression as described here within.

Define gradations, traffic levels, and asphaltic binder designation levels as follows:

| GRADATIONS |  | TRAFFIC VOLUME |  | DESIGNATION LEVEL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AS) |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 37.5 mm | LT | Low | S | Standard |
| 2 | 25.0 mm | MT | Medium | H | Heavy |
| 3 | 19.0 mm | HT | High | V | Very Heavy |
| 4 | 12.5 mm |  |  | E | Extremely Heavy |
| 5 | 9.5 mm |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 4.75 mm |  |  |  |  |

Construct HMA pavement of the type the bid item indicates encoded as follows:


Conform to standard spec 460 as modified in this special provision.

## B Materials

Add the following to standard spec 460.2:
Design mixtures conforming to tables 460-1 and 460-2 to 4.0\% air voids to establish the aggregate structure.

Determine the target JMF Asphalt Binder content for production from the mix design data corresponding to $3.0 \%$ air voids ( $97 \% \mathrm{Gmm}$ ) target at Ndes. The air voids at the design number of
gyrations, (Ndes) shall be achieved by the addition of liquid asphalt meeting the contract specifications.

Production shall conform to VMA and Dust to Binder Ratio requirements of table 460-1 and 460-2.

Replace standard spec table 460-1 with the following to change the footnotes to refer to $L T$ and $M T$ mixes instead of E-0.3 and E-3 mixes:

TABLE 460-1 AGGREGATE GRADATION MASTER RANGE AND VMA REQUIREMENTS

| SIEVE | PERCENTS PASSING DESIGNATED SIEVES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NOMINAL SIZE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\underset{(\# 1)}{37.5 \mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ (\# 2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.0 \mathrm{~mm} \\ (\# 3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 12.5 \mathrm{~mm} \\ (\# 4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.5 \mathrm{~mm} \\ (\# 5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SMA } 12.5 \\ \text { mm (\#4) } \end{gathered}$ | SMA 9.5 mm (\#5) |
| 50.0-mm | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $37.5-\mathrm{mm}$ | $90-100$ | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25.0 -mm | 90 max | 90-100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| 19.0-mm |  | 90 max | 90-100 | 100 |  | 100 |  |
| $12.5-\mathrm{mm}$ |  |  | 90 max | 90-100 | 100 | 90-97 | 100 |
| $9.5-\mathrm{mm}$ |  |  | - | 90 max | 90-100 | 58-72 | 90-100 |
| $4.75-\mathrm{mm}$ |  |  |  |  | 90 max | 25-35 | 35-45 |
| $2.36-\mathrm{mm}$ | 15-41 | 19-45 | 23-49 | 28-58 | 20-65 | 15-25 | 18-28 |
| 75- $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | 0-6.0 | 1.0-7.0 | 2.0-8.0 | 2.0-10.0 | 2.0-10.0 | 8.0-12.0 | 10.0-14.0 |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { VMA } \\ \text { MINIMUM }}}{ }$ | 11.0 | 12.0 | 13.0 | $14.0{ }^{[1]}$ | $15.0{ }^{[2]}$ | 16.0 | 17.0 |

14.5 for LT and MT mixes
[2] $\quad 15.5$ for LT and MT mixes
Replace standard spec table 460-2 with the following to switch from E mixes to $L T, M T$, and $H T$ mixes; and change the tensile strength ratio requirements to 0.75 without antistripping additive and 0.80 with antistripping additive:

TABLE 460-2 MIXTURE REQUIREMENTS

| Mixture type | LT | MT | HT | SMA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ESALs x 106 (20 yr design life) | <2.0 | 2-<8 | >8 | > 5 mil |
| LA Wear (AASHTO T96) 100 revolutions(max \% loss) 500 revolutions(max \% loss) | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 45 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 40 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Soundness (AASHTO T104) (sodium sulfate, max \% loss) | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Freeze/Thaw (AASHTO T103) (specified counties, max \% loss) | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Fractured Faces (ASTM 5821) (one face/2 face, \% by count) | 65/ _ | 75 / 60 | 98 / 90 | 100/90 |
| Flat \& Elongated (ASTM D4791) (max \%, by weight) | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (5: 1 \text { ratio }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (5: 1 \text { ratio }) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (5: 1 \text { ratio }) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (3: 1 \text { ratio }) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Fine Aggregate Angularity (AASHTO T304, method A, min) | 40 | 43 | 45 | 45 |
| Sand Equivalency (AASHTO T176, min) | 40 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
| Gyratory Compaction |  |  |  |  |


| Gyrations for Nini Gyrations for Ndes Gyrations for Nmax | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 40 \\ 60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ 75 \\ 115 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 100 \\ 160 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 65 \\ 160 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Air Voids, \%Va (\%Gmm Ndes) | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ (96.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.0 \\ (96.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.0 \\ (96.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.0 \\ (96.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| \% Gmm Nini | <= 91.5 ${ }^{[1]}$ | <= 89.0 ${ }^{[1]}$ | <= 89.0 |  |
| \% Gmm Nmax | <= 98.0 | <= 98.0 | <= 98.0 |  |
| Dust to Binder Ratio ${ }^{[2]}$ (\% passing 0.075/Pbe) | 0.6-1.2 | 0.6-1.2 | 0.6-1.2 | 1.2-2.0 |
| Voids filled with Binder (VFB or VFA, \%) | 68-80 ${ }^{[4][5]}$ | $65-75^{[3][4]}$ | 65-75 ${ }^{[3][4]}$ | 70-80 |
| Tensile Strength Ratio (TSR) <br> (ASTM 4867) <br> no antistripping additive with antistripping additive | $\begin{aligned} & 0.75 \\ & 0.80 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.75 \\ & 0.80 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.75 \\ & 0.80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.75 \\ & 0.80 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Draindown at Production Temperature (\%) | - | - | - | 0.30 |

${ }^{[1]} \quad$ The percent maximum density at initial compaction is only a guideline.
${ }^{[2]}$ For a gradation that passes below the boundaries of the caution zone (ref. AASHTO MP3), the dust to binder ratio limits are 0.6-1.6.
${ }^{[3]}$ For \#5 $(9.5 \mathrm{~mm})$ and \#4 ( 12.5 mm ) nominal maximum size mixtures, the specified VFB range is 70-76\%.
${ }^{[4]}$ For \#2 $(25.0 \mathrm{~mm})$ nominal maximum size mixes, the specified VFB lower limit is $67 \%$.
${ }^{[5]}$ For \#1 ( 37.5 mm ) nominal maximum size mixes, the specified VFB lower limit is $67 \%$.
Replace standard spec 460.2.8.2.1.7 paragraph six with the following to base payment adjustment on the combined bid item unit price:
(6) The department will reduce payment for nonconforming QMP HMA mixtures, starting from the stop point to the point when the running average is back inside the warning limits, as follows:

PAYMENT FOR MIXTURE ${ }^{[1]}{ }^{[2]}$
PRODUCED WITHIN
WARNING BANDS
90\%
PRODUCED OUTSIDE
ITEM
Gradation
Asphalt Content
85\%
Air Voids
VMA
70\%
90\%
JMF LIMITS 75\% 75\% 50\% 75\%
${ }^{[1]}$ For projects or plants where the total production of each mixture design requires less than 4 tests refer to CMM 8-36.
${ }^{[2]}$ Payment is in percent of the contract unit price for the HMA Pavement bid item. The department will reduce pay based on the nonconforming property with lowest percent pay. The department will administer pay reduction under the Nonconforming QMP HMA Mixture administrative item.

Replace standard spec 465.2 with the following:
(1) Under the Asphaltic Surface, Asphaltic Surface Detours, and Asphaltic Surface Patching bid items; submit a mix design. Furnish asphaltic mixture meeting the requirements specified for either
type LT or MT mix under 460.2; except the engineer will not require the contractor to conform to the quality management program specified under 460.2.8.
(2) Under the other 465 bid items, the contractor need not submit a mix design. Furnish aggregates mixed with a type AC asphaltic material. Use coarse and fine mineral aggregates uniformly coated and mixed with the asphaltic material in an engineer-approved mixing plant. The contractor may include reclaimed asphaltic pavement materials in the mixture.

## C Construction

Replace standard spec table 460-3 with the following to switch from E mixes to $L T, M T$, and $H T$ mixes and to increase field density requirements by $1.5 \%$ when operating under this HMA Pavement 3.0\% Va Regression SPV:

TABLE 460-3 MINIMUM REQUIRED DENSITY ${ }^{[1]}$

| LOCATION | LAYER | PERCENT OF TARGET MAXIMUM DENSITY |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MIXTURE TYPE |  |  |
|  | LT AND MT | HT | SMA $^{[5]}$ |  |
| TRAFFIC LANES $\left.{ }^{[2]}\right]$ | LOWER | $93.0^{[3]}$ | $93.5^{[4]}$ | - |
|  | 93.0 | 93.5 | - |  |
| SIDE ROADS, <br> CROSSOVERS, <br>  <br> RAMPS | LOWER | $93.0^{[3]}$ | $93.5^{[4]}$ | - |
| SHOULDERS \& | UPPER | 93.0 | 93.5 | - |
| APPURTENANCES | UPWER | 91.0 | 91.0 | - |

${ }^{[1]}$ The table values are for average lot density. If any individual density test result falls more than 3.0 percent below the minimum required target maximum density, the engineer may investigate the acceptability of that material.
${ }^{\text {[2] }}$ Includes parking lanes as determined by the engineer.
[3] Minimum reduced by 2.0 percent for a lower layer constructed directly on crushed aggregate or recycled base courses.
[4] Minimum reduced by 1.0 percent for a lower layer constructed directly on crushed aggregate or recycled base courses.
${ }^{\text {[5] }}$ The minimum required densities for SMA mixtures are determined according to CMM 8-15.

Delete standard spec 460.2.8.2.1.5(1) and replace with the following:
(1) Conform to the following control limits for the JMF and warning limits based on a running average of the last 4 data points:
ITEM
JMF LIMITS
WARNING LIMITS

Percent passing given sieve:
$37.5-\mathrm{mm}$
25.0-mm
19.0-mm
$12.5-\mathrm{mm}$
$9.5-\mathrm{mm}$
2.36-mm
$75-\mu \mathrm{m}$
Asphaltic content in percent
+/- 6.0
+/- 4.5
+/- 6.0
+/- 5.5
+/- 5.5
+/- 5.5
+/- 5.0
+/- 2.0

- 0.3
+/- 4.5
+/- 4.0
+/- 4.0
+/- 4.0
+/- 4.0
+/- 1.5
- 0.2

| Air voids in percent | $+1.3 /-1.0$ | $+1.0 /-0.7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VMA in percent ${ }^{[1]}$ | -0.5 | -0.2 |

${ }^{[1]}$ VMA limits based on minimum requirement for mix design nominal maximum aggregate size in table 460-1.

Delete standard spec 460.2.8.3.1.6(1) and replace with the following:
(1) The engineer will provide test results to the contractor within 2 mixture-production days after obtaining the sample. The quality of the product is acceptably verified if it meets the following limits:

- Va is within a range of 2.0 to 4.3 percent.
- VMA is within minus 0.5 of the minimum requirement for the mix design nominal maximum aggregate size.


## D Measurement

The department will measure HMA Pavement (type) 3.0\% Va Regression Special conforming to standard spec 460.4.

## E Payment

Add the following to standard spec 460.5 to switch from E mixes to LT, MT, and HT mixes; to combine the pavement and binder bid items; and to specify a pay reduction for pavement placed with nonconforming binder:

The department will pay for measured quantities at the contract unit price under the following bid items:

| ITEM NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| SPV.0195.01 | HMA Pavement 3 MT 58-28 S 3.0\% Va Regression Special TON |  |
| SPV.0195.02 | HMA Pavement 4 MT 58-34 S 3.0\% Va Regression Special TON |  |

Payment is full compensation for providing HMA Pavement including asphaltic binder.
In addition to any pay adjustment under standard spec 460.2.8.2.1.7(6), the department will adjust pay for nonconforming binder under the Nonconforming QMP Asphaltic Material administrative item. The department will deduct 25 percent of the contract unit price of the HMA Pavement bid item per ton of pavement placed with nonconforming PG binder the engineer allows to remain in place.

Delete standard spec 460.5.2.3(1) and replace with the following:
(1)If the lot density is greater than the minimum specified in table 460-3 and all individual air voids test results for that mixture placed during the same day are within 2.5-4.0 percent, the department will adjust pay for that lot as follows:
INCENTIVE PAY ADJUSTMENT FOR HMA PAVEMENT DENSITY
PERCENT LOT DENSITY ABOVE SPECIFIED MINIMUMPAY ADJUSTMENT PER TON ${ }^{[1]}$

From -0.4 to 1.0 inclusive\$0
From 1.1 to 1.8 inclusive ..... $\$ 0.40$
More than 1.8 ..... \$0.80

## APPENDIX A: Test Procedures for HMA Pavement 3\% Va Regression SPV

Delete CMM 8-15.10.1 Target maximum Density and replace with the following:
For pavement density determination, the target value in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{tt}^{3}$ (PCF) is established using the mixture maximum specific gravity $(\mathrm{Gmm})$. For the first day of a paving mixture design, the target maximum density will be the Gmm value corresponding to $3.0 \%$ air voids on the mix design multiplied by $62.24 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ (PCF). The target maximum density for all other days will be the four Gmm test running average value from the end of the previous days' production multiplied by $62.24 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ (PCF). If four tests have not been completed by the end of the first day, the average of the completed Gmm test values multiplied by $62.24 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{tt}^{3}$ (PCF) will be used until a running average of 4 is established.
The following data must be recorded for each test on the worksheet for MRS entry

- Density standard and moisture standard
- Density count, moisture counts or contact and air gap counts
- Total wet density or bulk density
- \% Compaction
- Manufacturer name and serial number
- Operators name
- Mix design number (WisDOT 250 ID ) and daily Target max density target number ( $\mathrm{Gmm} \times 62.24 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{tt}^{3}$ )

Delete CMM 8-15.15.2.1 Examples of Computing Incentive/Disincentive for Density and replace with the following:

## Example 1 (nominal tonnage lots):

HMA Pavement, Type 4 HT 58-34 S Lot 2R
Total HMA Tonnage for Project: 20,000 Tons
\% Density of Target Maximum ( Gmm ) $=90.9 \%$
Required \% Density of the Gmm = 93.5\%
Lot Tonnage $=750$
Contract Price per Ton $=\$ 26.50$
From Table 460-3 of this SPV. 0195 and 460.5.2.2:

- Amount below Specified Minimum (Table 460-3 of this SPV) $=93.5-90.9=2.6$
- Payment Factor (SS 460.5.2.2) $=70 \%$ ( $30 \%$ Credit to the Department)
- Credit to the Department (HMA Mix) $=30 \% \times \$ 26.50 /$ Ton $\times 750$ Tons $=\$ 5,962.50$

If this were the only failing lot on the project, the final quantities on the estimate would be as shown in Table 3.

## Example 2 (nominal tonnage lots):

HMA Pavement, Type 4 HT 58-34 S Lot 3R
\% Density of Target Maximum (Gmm) $=95.1 \%$
Required \% Density of the Gmm = 93.5\%
Lot Tonnage = 750
Air Voids for day = 2.9-3.2\%
Payment Factor $=95.1-93.5($ Table 460-3 $)=1.6$

Adjusted Unit Price $=\$ 0.40 /$ Ton $\times 750$ Tons $(S S 460.5 .2 .3(1)$ of this SPV) $=\$ 300$

If this is the only lot with a higher density than required on the project, the final quantities on the estimate would be as shown in Table 3 below:

Table 3 Estimate for Pay Adjustment for Incentive/Disincentive Density

| Bid Item | Description | Unit | Cost/Unit | Total Quantity | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 460.7244 | HMA Type <br> 4 HT 58-34 S | TON | $\$ 26.50$ | 20,000 | $\$ 530,000.00$ |
| 460.2000 | Incentive Density <br> HMA Pavement | DOL | $\$ 1.00$ | 300.00 | $\$ 300.00$ |
| 804.2005 | Disincentive <br> Density HMA <br> Pavement | DOL | $\$ 1.00$ | $-(5,962.5)$ | $-(\$ 5,962.50)$ |

## Project Information for Examples 3 and 4 (daily tonnage lots \& linear sublots):

A project begins at station $56+78$ and ends at station $234+25$. It is a 2-lane roadway with a shoulder on each side. The traffic lanes are 12 feet wide and the shoulders are 3 feet wide. Shown in the figure below is the eastbound traffic lane and shoulder for the length of the project. The contractor will be paving the shoulder integrally with the traffic lane. The pavement is a 2-inch overlay and the same HMA mix type is used on the entire project. The HMA mixture includes $5.5 \%$ asphaltic material. The bid price for the HMA pavement item is $\$ 41.75$ per ton. The specified target density for the traffic lane is $93.5 \%$. The target density for the shoulder is $92.0 \%$.
Day 1:
The contractor begins paving at station 56+78 and ends the day at station 102+97, a total length of 4,619 feet. A quantity of 677 tons was placed on the eastbound traffic lane, and 169 tons was placed on the integral shoulder.
Day 2:
The contractor begins paving at station 102+97. Due to traffic staging requirements, the contractor stops paving at station 159+93, 5,696 feet, and begins paving again at station $202+36$. They end the day at the end of the project, station $234+25,3,189$ additional feet. A quantity of 1303 tons was paved on the eastbound traffic lane, and 326 tons was placed on the integral shoulder.
Day 3:
The contractor begins paving at station 159+93 and ends the day at station 202+36, 4,243 feet. A total of 622 tons was placed on the eastbound traffic lane, and 156 tons was placed on the integral shoulder.

Figure 6 Linear Sublot Example Project


## Example 3 (daily tonnage lot \& linear sublots):

Use the example project information and the following test results from day 1. All of the day's air voids tests were acceptable. (Density Calculated off the PCF value, sublot is the average of the density \%)

|  | Sublot ID | Test ID | \% Density | Sublot Avg \% Density |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | 1 | 94.3 |  |
|  | 56+78 | 2 | 94.7 | 94.6 |
|  | 71+78 | 3 | 94.9 |  |
| 1. Compute the density for lane sublot shoulder | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B} \\ 71+78 \\ \text { to } \\ 86+78 \end{gathered}$ | 4 | 94.6 | 95.0 |
|  |  | 5 | 95.2 |  |
|  |  | 6 | 95.1 |  |
| SOLUTION: table above. | C | 7 | 94.1 | 94.6 |
| 2. Compute the disincentive | $\begin{gathered} 86+78 \\ \text { to } \\ 101+78 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | 95.0 |  |
|  |  | 9 | 94.8 |  |
| SOLUTION: <br> - Traffic | M | 37 | 93.2 | 93.2 |
|  | N | 38 | 94.2 | 94.2 |
| The specified traffic lane is | 0 | 39 | 93.0 | 93.0 |

average
each traffic and each sublot.

See the results in the
density incentive or for the day's paving.

Lane:
target density for the $93.5 \%$. All of the sublot were no more than one averages percent below the target density, so all of the day's traffic lane test results are used to compute the daily lot density and the lot incentive pay.

- Lot density $=(94.3+94.7+94.9+94.6+95.2+95.1+94.1+95.0+94.8) / 9$ tests $=94.7 \%$

According to $460 \cdot 5.2 .3(1)$ of this SPV, this lot density is eligible for incentive pay of $\$ 0.40$ per ton. 677 tons of HMA was placed on the traffic lane on day 1 , therefore the contractor receives $\$ 270.80$ density incentive for the day 1 traffic lane lot. This is for all of sublot $A, B \& C$ and the 119 ' in sublot D that did not reach the random number.

- Shoulder:

The minimum required density is $92.0 \%$. All of the sublot averages were acceptable, so all of the day's shoulder tests are used to compute the shoulder lot density. The average of all the shoulder tests is $93.5 \%$. According to the specification, this lot density is eligible for incentive pay of $\$ 0.40$ per ton. 169 tons of HMA was placed on the shoulder on day 1, therefore the contractor receives $\$ 67.60$ density incentive for the day 1 shoulder lot.

Example 4 (daily tonnage lot \& linear sublots):

Use the example project information and the following test results from day 3. All of the day's air voids tests were acceptable.

| Sublot ID | Test ID | \% Density | Sublot Avg \% Density |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 22 | 92.3 | 92.3 |
| $\begin{gathered} 161+78 \\ \text { to } \\ 176+78 \end{gathered}$ | 23 | 92.4 |  |
|  | 24 | 92.2 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { I } \\ 176+78 \\ \text { to } \\ 191+78 \end{gathered}$ | 25 | 95.6 | 95.4 |
|  | 26 | 95.3 |  |
|  | 27 | 95.4 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 191+78 \\ \text { to } \\ 202+36 \end{gathered}$ | 28 | 92.5 | 92.4 |
|  | 29 | 92.3 |  |
|  | 30 | 92.4 |  |
| T | 44 | 91.9 | 91.9 |
| U | 45 | 94.4 | 94.4 |
| V | 46 | 92.1 | 92.1 |

Compute the density incentive or disincentive for the day's paving.

## SOLUTION:

## 1. Traffic Lane:

According to the specification, a minimum density of $93.5 \%$ is required for the traffic lane. When verifying whether or not the sublot densities meet the requirements, it is found that sublot H and sublot J have average densities that are more than one percent below the required minimum. According to the specification, the quantity of HMA pavement placed this day in each of these sublots is subject to disincentive, and the day's test results within these sublots are not included when computing the incentive for the remainder of the lot.
2. Sublot H :

Day 3 began inside the limits of sublot G , at station $159+93$, but beyond its random test location. The tests for sublot G represent material placed on day 2 . The tests in sublot H represent the day 3 material from station 159+93 to 176+78, a total length of 1685 feet long ( 185 ' from sublot G, paved on day 3 , and 1500 ' in sublot H) by 12 feet wide.
Quantity represented by tests in sublot $\mathrm{H}=$

$$
\frac{\left(1685^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}\right)}{(9 \mathrm{sf} / \mathrm{sy})} \times \frac{(2 \mathrm{in} . \times 110 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{sy} / \mathrm{in})}{(2000 \mathrm{lb} / \text { ton })}=247 \text { tons }
$$

According to the disincentive pay table in the specification, the quantities are subject to a pay factor equal to 95 percent of the contract price. This is equivalent to a 5 percent pay reduction.
Disincentive Density HMA Pavement $=247$ tons $\times(\$ 41.75 /$ ton $\times 0.05)=-\$ 515.61$
3. Sublot I:

Quantity represented by tests in sublot $\mathrm{I}=$

$$
\frac{\left(1500^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}\right)}{(9 \mathrm{sf} / \mathrm{sy})} \times \frac{(2 \mathrm{in} . \times 110 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{sy} / \mathrm{in})}{(2000 \mathrm{lb} / \text { ton })}=220 \text { tons }
$$

According to the incentive pay table, 220 tons of the HMA pavement item are eligible for an incentive of $\$ 0.80$ per ton, or a total of $\$ 176.00$.
4. Sublot J:

Day 3 ended within the limits of sublot J, beyond its random test location. The day 3 quantity placed within sublot J, from station $191+78$ to $202+36$, at length of 1,058 feet, is represented by its tests. The day 2 quantity placed toward the end of sublot $J$ is represented by the tests taken on day 2 within sublot K.
Quantity represented by tests in sublot $\mathrm{J}=$

$$
\frac{\left(1058^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}\right)}{(9 \mathrm{sf} / \mathrm{sy})} \times \frac{(2 \mathrm{in} . \times 110 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{sy} / \mathrm{in})}{(2000 \mathrm{lb} / \text { ton })}=155 \text { tons }
$$

According to the disincentive pay table in the specification, the quantities are subject to a pay factor equal to 95 percent of the contract price. This is equivalent to a 5 percent pay reduction.
Disincentive Density HMA Pavement $=155$ tons $\times(\$ 41.75 /$ ton $\times 0.05)=-\$ 323.56$
5. Shoulder:

All of the day 3 shoulder sublots have acceptable density values, so we use all of the results to compute the day's shoulder lot density.
Day 3 shoulder lot density $=(91.9+94.4+92.1) / 3$ tests $=92.8 \%$
The lot density of $92.8 \%$ is not more than $1.0 \%$ above the required minimum of $92.0 \%$, therefore the day 3 shoulder pavement does not receive any density incentive.

## Day 3 Incentive/Disincentive Summary:

Incentive Density HMA Pavement (Lot I) $=\$ 176.00$
Disincentive Density HMA Pavement (Lot H) $=-\$ 515.61$
Disincentive Density HMA Pavement (Lot J) $=-\$ 323.56$

Delete CMM 8-36.6.1 QC Tests and replace with the following:

QC testing must be completed, and data posted, on the day the sample was taken or as approved by the engineer.
For administration of projects requiring only one, two, or three single tests per mix design, apply the following tolerances table for mixture evaluation:

- $\mathrm{Va}=2.0-5.0 \%$
- $\quad \mathrm{VMA}=-1.3$ from required minimums for Table 460-1 as revised in STSP 460-025
- $\mathrm{AC}=$ within -0.1 of JMF Pb after regression

Delete CMM 8-36 Figure 8 HMA Verification Dispute Resolution Scenarios and replace with the following:

HMA Verification Dispute Resolution Scenario Examples



Delete CMM 8-66.2.2(3) and replace with the following:
3. Determine trial asphalt binder contents (estimated by experience or by calculation based on aggregate properties of trial blends).

- Compact gyratory specimens using a minimum of 3 asphalt binder contents ( $0.5 \%$ increments) and covering a range to include the estimated optimum design binder content as well as 3.0\% air voids. Use $N_{\text {des }}$ for compaction effort.
- Compare trial binder content results. The design binder content (by either graphing or interpolating the trial data results) is determined as that meeting requirements stated in standard spec 460. The department will determine the optimum binder content corresponding to $3.0 \%$ air voids by linear regression of the trial gyratory specimens.


## Schedule of Items

Attached, dated August 4, 2016, are the revised Schedule of Items Pages 2-4 and 13.

## Plan Sheets

The following $81 / 2 \times 11$-inch sheets are attached and made part of the plans for this proposal:
Revised: 6, 14, 17, 49, and 53.

| 2 |  | TYPICAL EXISTING CROSS SECTION FOR LARSON LANE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




| EARTHWORK SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Borrow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division | From/To Station | $\begin{gathered} \text { Common } \\ \text { Excavation (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { (Item \# } \\ 205.0100 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | Salvaged/Unusable Pavement Material (4) | AvailableMaterial (5) | $\begin{gathered} 208.1100 \\ \text { Select Borrow } \\ \text { (Expanded } \\ \text { EBS Backfill) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Marsh } \\ \text { Excavation } \\ (6) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unexpanded } \\ & \text { Fill } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Expanded Fill } \\ & \text { (13) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mass Ordinate }+/- \\ (14) \end{gathered}$ | Waste |  |
| Division 1 |  | Cut (2) | $\underset{\substack{\text { EBS Excavation } \\ \text { (3) }}}{ }$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Factor } \\ & 1.33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \quad \text { (Item } \\ \# 205.0500) \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Factor } \\ & \text { 1.15 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | (1tem |
| STH 22 (A) | 49+89-180+50 | 5,549 | 2,199 | 3,556 | 1,993 | 2,924 | 858 | 7,233 | 8,318 | -6326 | 0 | 6326 |
| STH 22 (B) | 181+00-272+80 | 3,434 | 1,579 | 2,658 | 776 | 2,100 | 0 | 7,130 | 8,200 | -7424 | 0 | 7424 |
| Chestrut Road | $61+19$ - 64+00 | 357 | 0 | 0 | 357 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 74 | 283 | 283 | -283 |
| REA Road | $65+53-68+00$ | 295 |  | 0 | 295 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 43 | 252 | 252 | -252 |
| CTHI | 18+00-22+50 | 653 | 0 | 0 | 653 | 0 | 0 | 434 | 499 | 154 | 154 | -154 |
| Larson Lane | 28+14-29+50 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 137 | -61 | 0 | 0 |
| Younger Road South | 37+65-39+50 | 192 | 0 |  | 192 |  | 0 | 137 | 158 | 34 | 34 | -34 |
| Younger Road North | 50+50-52+60 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 219 | 252 | -146 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Total |  | 10,662 | 3,777 | 6,214 | 4.448 | 5,024 | 858 | 15,373 | 17,679 | -13,232 | 724 | 13,027 |
|  |  | Total Common Ex | 14,439 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | num |
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